

1 NINA F. LOCKER, State Bar No. 123838
Email: nlocker@wsgr.com
2 JEROME F. BIRN, JR., State Bar No. 128561
Email: jbirn@wsgr.com
3 JONI OSTLER, State Bar No. 230009
Email: jostler@wsgr.com
4 NICHOLAS R. MILLER, State Bar No. 274243
Email: nmiller@wsgr.com
5 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
Professional Corporation
6 650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
7 Telephone: (650) 493-9300
Facsimile: (650) 493-6811
8

9 *Attorneys for Defendants Intrexon Corporation,*
10 *Randal J. Kirk, Rick L. Sterling, and Krish S. Krishnan*

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
14

15 In re Intrexon Corporation Securities Litigation)
16)
17)
18)
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

CASE NO.: 3:16-cv-02398-RS

CLASS ACTION

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~
ORDER CONTINUING HEARING ON
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
DISMISS**

1 Defendants Intrexon Corporation, Randal J. Kirk, Rick L. Sterling, and Krish S. Krishnan
2 (collectively, “Defendants”), and Lead Plaintiff Joe Seppen, by and through their attorneys,
3 stipulate and agree as follows:

4 WHEREAS, on August 2, 2016, based on a stipulation by and among the parties, the Court
5 entered an Order Regarding Deadline for Filing Consolidated Amended Complaint and Briefing
6 Schedule for Anticipated Motion(s) to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 41) setting forth a schedule requiring
7 that Plaintiff file its consolidated amended complaint by September 22, 2016, that Defendants
8 answer or otherwise respond by November 17, 2016, that Plaintiff file its opposition to that motion
9 by December 23, 2016, and that Defendants file their reply by February 2, 2017;

10 WHEREAS, Defendants thereafter timely filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint
11 in this action (Dkt. No. 46) (“Motion to Dismiss”) on November 17, 2016;

12 WHEREAS, the hearing on Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss is currently noticed for
13 February 16, 2017;

14 WHEREAS, Defendants’ counsel subsequently learned of a scheduling conflict and cannot
15 attend a hearing on February 16, 2017;

16 WHEREAS, Defendants informed Lead Plaintiff of this scheduling conflict and the parties
17 agreed to request that the hearing on Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss be continued for one week to
18 Monday, February 23, 2017.

19 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and among the
20 respective parties hereto, subject to the approval of the Court, that:

21 1. The hearing on Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss shall be continued from February
22 16, 2017 to February 23, 2017.

23 2. All briefing deadlines with respect to the Motion to Dismiss shall remain as set
24 forth in the Scheduling Order.

25 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

1 DATED: January 6, 2017

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

2
3 By: /s/ Joni Ostler
Joni Ostler

4 650 Page Mill Road
5 Palo Alto, CA 94304
6 Telephone: (650) 493-9300
7 Facsimile: (650) 493-6811
8 Email: jostler@wsgr.com

9 *Attorneys for Defendants Intrexon Corporation,
Randal J. Kirk, Rick L. Sterling, and Krish S.
Krishnan*

10 DATED: January 6, 2017

GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP

11 By: /s/ Joshua L. Crowell
12 Joshua L. Crowell

13 1925 Century Park East
14 Suite 2100
15 Los Angeles, CA 90067
16 Telephone: 310-201-9150
17 Facsimile: 310-201-9160
18 Email: jcrowell@glancylaw.com

Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff Joe Seppen

19 ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER

20 GOOD CAUSE HAVING BEEN SHOWN, it is hereby ordered that:

21 1. The hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss shall be continued from February
22 16, 2017 to February 23, 2017.

23 2. All briefing deadlines with respect to the Motion to Dismiss shall remain as set
24 forth in the Scheduling Order.

25 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 DATED: 1/6/17

27 
28 HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE